

# Whakamarama: Justification

Wordcount: 792 | Lessons:

<https://tekete.co.nz/portfolio/lesson-design-692>

Rights and Participation in Aotearoa is an apposite topic for Year 10 because it meets students at a moment of developmental salience. Students entering secondary school are actively renegotiating their own relationships to authority, fairness, and autonomy; questions of rights are not abstract for them. The topic also has immediate civic urgency in Aotearoa: Treaty co-governance, freshwater claims, and Te Reo revitalisation are live political conversations. Teaching 'Human Rights' at Level 4 means teaching students to think about debates they are already inside. This grounds the NZC Level 5 Achievement Objective, "Understand how people define and seek human rights." (Ministry of Education, 2007, p. 58), in content that is both conceptually rich and personally relevant.

The three lesson sequence maps a deliberate progression in cognitive demand. Lesson 1 centres around knowledge acquisition; it establishes the vocabulary and conceptual schema students need before they can analyse. Lesson 2 focuses on analysis and perspective-taking; and requires students to apply their new understanding to genuine, unresolved cases. Lesson 3, is about consolidation and synthesis and evaluation; it asks them to produce something new: a principled, evidence-based plan for civic action. This 3 lesson progression is far too rapid for

real life teaching, in truth, these would be spread out over the entirety of a term long unit. However, I justify this unrealistic portrayal of teaching as this progression reflects Nuthall's (2007) finding that analysis is only possible once students have encountered new concepts through varied contexts. A common lesson design pitfall is to deploy analysis tasks before the required conceptual framework is secure. Without Lesson 1's vocabulary work, the case study inquiry in Lesson 2 would produce opinion, but not be able to blossom into analysis.

Lesson 1 uses more explicit direct instruction than I would often employ in my ako, not because direct instruction is generically appropriate (nor is that to say it is generically inappropriate), but because Human Rights vocabulary is specifically opaque to Year 10 students. The word "right" is used colloquially to mean preferences, permissions, and entitlements interchangeably; without explicit instruction in the technical distinction between rights and privileges, students lack the analytical tool their Lesson 2 case studies require. Alton-Lee's (2003) Best Evidence Synthesis confirms that clarity in subject-specific language is among the most robust predictors of learning for diverse learners. One of the designed resources, the physical card sort of sixteen rights, is intended to exploit genuine conceptual ambiguity rather than test prior knowledge. The worksheet pre-labelling rights categories would pre-empt the discovery; the open sort creates a cognitive puzzle that makes the eventual distinction memorable. The Rangatiratanga card is deliberately designed to resist easy placement, giving the teacher diagnostic information about students' starting conceptions before the Te Tiriti close reading begins.

The three NZ case studies in Lesson 2 are chosen to triangulate the full range of ways rangatiratanga gets contested in Aotearoa: linguistic authority (Case A, Te Reo Māori and education), public order authority (Case B, the 1981 Springbok Tour protests), and rights abuse redress (Case C, Tainui Waitangi Tribunal claim). Together they reveal that rights conflicts in Aotearoa are structural rather than incidental, and that the question of which legal framework applies is never neutral. Lesson 3 then demands synthesis: students evaluate forms of civic participation against a principled framework (legal? effective? values-aligned? tikanga-respectful?) and plan a community response. This is the highest order task in the sequence, and the community response plan directly evidences the AO while building the analytical vocabulary required for NCEA Level 1 Social Studies AS91028.

Mātauranga Māori is structurally integrated, not culturally gestured. Rangatiratanga functions differently in each lesson, as a constitutional concept (Lesson 1), an analytical lens for conflict (Lesson 2), and a standard for evaluating action (Lesson 3). This progression gives it explanatory force throughout the unit. Bishop and Glynn (1999) argue that genuine cultural responsiveness requires Māori knowledge to have analytical power, not merely presence; the three case studies are designed to make that power demonstrable. Assessment for learning runs as a deliberate loop: exit cards from Lesson 1 are returned to open Lesson 2; 3-2-1 reflections from Lesson 2 shape the opening of Lesson 3. This reflects William and Thompson's (2007) conception of formative assessment as a teaching decision-making tool, each piece of student evidence informs what comes next, making the feedback loop visible to ākonga themselves.

## References

Alton-Lee, A. (2003). Quality teaching for diverse students in schooling: Best Evidence Synthesis Iteration. Ministry of Education.

Bishop, R., & Glynn, T. (1999). Culture counts: Changing power relations in education. Dunmore Press.

Mead, H. M. (2003). Tikanga Māori: Living by Māori values. Huia Publishers.

Ministry of Education. (2007). The New Zealand Curriculum. Learning Media.

Nuthall, G. (2007). The hidden lives of learners. NZCER Press.

Te Ara: The Encyclopaedia of New Zealand. (2024). Whakataukī. New Zealand Government.

William, D., & Thompson, M. (2007). Integrating assessment with learning: What will it take to make it work? In C. A. Dwyer (Ed.), The future of assessment: Shaping teaching and learning (pp. 53–82). Lawrence Erlbaum.